

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,222	09/26/2003	Hemanus Gerhardus Jozef Lansink Rotgerink	35909-TBD	6824	
26694	7590 12/30/2004		EXAMINER		
VENABLE, BAETJER, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP			NGUYEN, CAM N		
P.O. BOX 343 WASHINGTO	85 N, DC 20043-9998	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1754		
			DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					!/~			
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	—— (V			
Office Action Summany		10/670,22		LANSINK ROTGERIN	K ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Cam N Ng	<u> </u>	1754				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	is			
THE - External after aft	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION CONTROL OF THIS CONTROL OF THIS CONTROL OF THIS CONTROL OF TH	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no everation. ays, a reply within the statuory period will apply and will by statute, cause the apple	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) d ll expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ication to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  Im the mailing date of this commu  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>October 08</i> , 200	94 (an RCE).					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for			rosecution as to the me	erits is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disp sit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	withdrawn from cor	nsideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,8,9,11,12,15,16,19,20,22 and 23</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 4-7,10,13,14,17,18 and 21 is/a	are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc	•		a)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been	n received in Applica	ation No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of tapplication from the International	•		ved in this National Stag	ge			
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for	•		ved.				
			·					
Attachmer	nt/e)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa	rv (PTO-413)				
· ==	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date				
•	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152	<u>')</u>			

Application/Control Number: 10/670,222

**Art Unit: 1754** 

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. A request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after the final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicants submission filed on 10/08/04 has been entered.
- 2. Applicants' remarks and amendments, filed on October 08, 2004, have been carefully considered. Claims 1-7 have been amended. Claims 22-23 have been added.

Claims 1-23 are now pending in this application and under consideration.

# Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 1, 19, 21, & 22 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- A. In claim 1, lines 6-7, "a shaping step and a calcination step, which forms" should be deleted and replaced thereof with --shaping and calcining to form--.
- B. In claim 19, line 2, "0,5" should be changed to --0.5--.
- C. In claim 19, line 5, "the forming step, a forming step and calcining the formed material" should be deleted and replaced thereof with --the shaping step, shaping and calcining--.

Art Unit: 1754

- D. In claim 21, line 1, "The catalyst containing" should be changed to -A catalyst comprising--.
- E. In claim 21, line 1, "-%" should be changed to --%--.
- F. In claim 21, line 2, "accordint" should be changed to --according--.
- G. In claim 22, line 1, --are-- should be inserted after "domains". Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(e)

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 8-9, 11-12, 15-16, 19-20, & 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tacke et al., "hereinafter Tacke", (US Pat. 6,821,922 B1).

The applied reference has a common inventors with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the

Application/Control Number: 10/670,222

Art Unit: 1754

inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Tacke discloses a catalyst support moldings, which is pyrogenically produced mixed oxide having a composition containing at least two members selected from the group consisting of SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub> and ZrO<sub>2</sub> in any desired combination but with the exception of  $SiO_2/Al_2O_3$  mixed oxides, in which > 75 wt.% of SiO<sub>2</sub> is present, and other constituents <1 wt.%, which is produced by homogenizing pyrogenically produced mixed oxide as desired with one or more compounds from the group methylcellulose, methylhydroxyethylcellulose, wax, magnesium stearate, aluminum stearate and/or polyethylene glycol with addition of water, subjecting the product to a kneading and shaping process, extruding it, optionally chopping the extrudates to the desired length by means of a chopping device, drying the product, etc., and performing heat treatment for a period of 0.5 to 10 hours at a temperature of 400°C to 1200°C (see col. 3, In 1-27). The support moldings are impregnated with a solution containing palladium and gold to form a catalyst (see col. 5, In 36-38). Example 2 shows a pyrogenic mixed oxide of SiO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> with 82 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub> and 18 wt.% TiO<sub>2</sub>.

Tacke discloses the claimed catalyst support and its method of preparation, thus anticipates the claims.

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 4-7, 10, 13-14, 17-18, & 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicants' amendment/response filed on October 08, 2004 has been fully reconsidered, but not deemed persuasive in view of the new ground of rejection above.

### **Citations**

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 Form prepared attached. All references are cited for related art.

#### **Conclusion**

- 9. Claims 1-23 are pending in the application. Claims 1-3, 8-9, 11-12, 15-16, 19-20, & 22-23 are rejected. Claims 4-7, 10, 13-14, 17-18, & 21 are objected. No claims are allowed.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Cam Nguyen, whose telephone

Art Unit: 1754

number is (571) 272-1357. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:30 am. to 6:00 pm.

The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to telephone number (571) 272-1700.

Nguyen/cnn A

December 24, 2004

Art Unit: 1754